## Seneca <br> School Based Plan 2023-2024



Kindergarten

| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average LNF | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% | Average LSF | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 40 | 100\% | 59.9 | 1 | 3\% | 2 | 5\% | 31 | 6 | 93\% | 54.0 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 5\% | 25 | 13 | 95\% |
| Gender | F | 22 | 55\% | 56.8 | 1 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 19 | 2 | 95\% | 50.9 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 9\% | 16 | 4 | 91\% |
|  | M | 18 | 45\% | 63.7 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 11\% | 12 | 4 | 89\% | 57.8 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 9 | 100\% |
| Race | A | 2 | 5\% | 49.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 49.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | B | 2 | 5\% | 66.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 49.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | H | 2 | 5\% | 57.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 63.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | M | 1 | 3\% | 51.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 48.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | W | 33 | 83\% | 60.5 | 1 | 3\% | 2 | 6\% | 25 | 5 | 91\% | 54.2 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 21 | 11 | 97\% |
|  | ELL | 7 | 18\% | 57.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 0 | 100\% | 45.7 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 29\% | 4 | 1 | 71\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | EcoDis | 12 | 30\% | 58.4 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 9 | 2 | 92\% | 49.2 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 17\% | 6 | 4 | 83\% |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average PSF | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic <br> \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% | Average NWF | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 40 | 100\% | 65.5 | 2 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 17 | 21 | 95\% | 58.5 | 2 | 5\% | 4 | 10\% | 26 | 8 | 85\% |
| Gender | F | 22 | 55\% | 64.0 | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 11 | 91\% | 49.3 | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 9\% | 18 | 1 | 86\% |
|  | M | 18 | 45\% | 67.4 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 10 | 100\% | 69.8 | 1 | 6\% | 2 | 11\% | 8 | 7 | 83\% |
| Race | A | 2 | 5\% | 49.5 | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 50\% | 39.5 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | B | 2 | 5\% | 52.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 88.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | H | 2 | 5\% | 77.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 66.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | M | 1 | 3\% | 57.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 43.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | W | 33 | 83\% | 66.8 | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 18 | 97\% | 57.9 | 2 | 6\% | 2 | 6\% | 23 | 6 | 88\% |
|  | ELL | 7 | 18\% | 47.9 | 2 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 1 | 71\% | 40.1 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 43\% | 4 | 0 | 57\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | EcoDis | 12 | 30\% | 56.8 | 2 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 5 | 83\% | 45.7 | 1 | 8\% | 4 | 33\% | 6 | 1 | 58\% |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K, Math |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average OC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Tier <br> 1 | \% <br> Tier 1 | Average <br> NI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Tier $2$ | Tier <br> 1 | \% <br> Tier 1 | Average QD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Tier <br> 1 | Average MN | Tier 3 | Tier 2 | Tier 1 | \% Tier 1 |
|  | Total | 40 | 100\% | 80.0 | 2 | 5 | 33 | 83\% | 55.4 | 1 | 2 | 37 | 93\% | 26.7 | 2 | 3 | 35 | 16.9 | 2 | 5 | 33 | 83\% |
| Gender | F | 22 | 55\% | 74.7 | 2 | 2 | 18 | 82\% | 55.6 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 91\% | 26.5 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 15.9 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 77\% |
|  | M | 18 | 45\% | 86.4 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 83\% | 55.2 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 94\% | 26.8 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 18.1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 89\% |
| Race | A | 2 | 5\% | 62.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 50\% | 55.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 50\% | 21.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | B | 2 | 5\% | 83.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 50\% | 56.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 19.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | H | 2 | 5\% | 80.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 56.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 28.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | M | 1 | 3\% | 71.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 56.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 27.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | W | 33 | 83\% | 81.1 | 1 | 4 | 28 | 85\% | 55.4 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 94\% | 27.4 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 17.4 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 85\% |
|  | ELL | 7 | 18\% | 64.4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 43\% | 55.7 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 86\% | 23.0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 12.0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 57\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | EcoDis | 12 | 30\% | 74.3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 58\% | 54.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25.1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 15.3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 75\% |

First Grade

| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Average } \\ & \text { LNF } \end{aligned}$ | Intensive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intensive } \\ & \text { \% } \end{aligned}$ | Strategic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strategic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At or } \\ & \text { Above } \end{aligned}$ | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { LSF } \end{aligned}$ | Intensive | Intensive <br> \% | Strategic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strategic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At or } \\ & \text { Above } \end{aligned}$ | Exceeding | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At/Above/ } \\ & \text { Exceeding } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total | 39 | 100\% | 62.9 | 2 | 5\% | 5 | 13\% | 29 | 3 | 82\% | 55.4 | 2 | 5\% | 1 | 3\% | 34 | 2 | 92\% |
| Gender | F | 20 | 51\% | 59.4 | 2 | 10\% | 5 | 25\% | 11 | 2 | 65\% | 55.3 | 2 | 10\% | 1 | 5\% | 15 | 2 | 85\% |
|  | M | 19 | 49\% | 66.7 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 18 | 1 | 100\% | 55.6 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 19 | 0 | 100\% |
| Race | A | 3 | 8\% | 63.7 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 0 | 100\% | 60.3 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | B | 2 | 5\% | 65.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 62.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | H | 6 | 15\% | 56.0 | 2 | 33\% | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 1 | 50\% | 44.8 | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 67\% |
|  | M | 1 | 3\% | 60.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 70.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | w | 27 | 69\% | 64.4 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 15\% | 21 | 2 | 85\% | 56.1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 25 | 1 | 96\% |
|  | ELL | 5 | 13\% | 59.2 | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 1 | 80\% | 48.6 | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 80\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | EcoDis | 18 | 46\% | 61.1 | 2 | 11\% | 2 | 11\% | 12 | 2 | 78\% | 54.6 | 2 | 11\% | 1 | 6\% | 13 | 2 | 83\% |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average PSF | Intensive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intensive } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Strategic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strategic } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% | Average NWF | Intensive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intensive } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Strategic | Strategic <br> \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 39 | 100\% | 59.2 | 2 | 5\% | 2 | 5\% | 31 | 4 | 90\% | 73.8 | 9 | 23\% | 5 | 13\% | 20 | 5 | 64\% |
| Gender | F | 20 | 51\% | 56.4 | 2 | 10\% | 2 | 10\% | 14 | 2 | 80\% | 65.0 | 8 | 40\% | 3 | 15\% | 7 | 2 | 45\% |
|  | M | 19 | 49\% | 62.2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 17 | 2 | 100\% | 83.2 | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 11\% | 13 | 3 | 84\% |
| Race | A | 3 | 8\% | 60.7 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 0 | 100\% | 108.7 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 1 | 67\% |
|  | B | 2 | 5\% | 55.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 55.0 | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | H | 6 | 15\% | 46.0 | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 67\% | 63.7 | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2 | 50\% |
|  | M | 1 | 3\% | 50.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 64.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | W | 27 | 69\% | 62.6 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 7\% | 21 | 4 | 93\% | 74.0 | 5 | 19\% | 4 | 15\% | 16 | 2 | 67\% |
|  | ELL | 5 | 13\% | 48.4 | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 80\% | 58.8 | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 3 | 0 | 60\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EcoDi } \\ & \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 46\% | 54.7 | 2 | 11\% | 1 | 6\% | 15 | 0 | 83\% | 69.3 | 4 | 22\% | 4 | 22\% | 7 | 3 | 56\% |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average Fluency | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 39 | 100\% | 63.5 | 8 | 21\% | 7 | 18\% | 21 | 3 | 62\% |
| Gender | F | 20 | 51\% | 52.5 | 7 | 35\% | 3 | 15\% | 9 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | M | 19 | 49\% | 75.1 | 1 | 5\% | 4 | 21\% | 12 | 2 | 74\% |
| Race | A | 3 | 8\% | 80.7 | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 67\% |
|  | B | 2 | 5\% | 42.0 | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | H | 6 | 15\% | 49.7 | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | M | 1 | 3\% | 58.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | W | 27 | 69\% | 66.4 | 3 | 11\% | 7 | 26\% | 16 | 1 | 63\% |
|  | ELL | 5 | 13\% | 46.2 | 2 | 40\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 0 | 60\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | EcoDis | 18 | 46\% | 56.4 | 5 | 28\% | 3 | 17\% | 8 | 2 | 56\% |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average Score | 2 or <br> More <br> Levels <br> Below | 2 or <br> More <br> Levels <br> Below \% | 1 Level Below | 1 Level Below \% | On Level | Above <br> Level |  | 21-22 <br> EOY On or <br> Above <br> Level \% | 20-21 <br> EOY On or <br> Above <br> Level \% |
|  | Total | 38 | 100\% | 411 | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 39\% | 23 | 0 | 61\% | 63\% | 45\% |
|  | F | 19 | 50\% | 404 | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 53\% | 9 | 0 | 47\% | 63\% | 52\% |
|  | M | 19 | 50\% | 419 | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 26\% | 14 | 0 | 74\% | 63\% | 38\% |
|  | A | 3 | 8\% | 403 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 0 | 67\% | 50\% | 14\% |
|  | B | 2 | 5\% | 388 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 25\% | 50\% |
| Race | H | 6 | 16\% | 388 | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 83\% | 1 | 0 | 17\% | 25\% | 25\% |
|  | M | 1 | 3\% | 408 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 50\% | 33\% |
|  | W | 26 | 68\% | 420 | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 27\% | 19 | 0 | 73\% | 74\% | 57\% |
|  | ELL | 5 | 13\% | 387 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 80\% | 1 | 0 | 20\% | 25\% | 31\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | EcoDis | 17 | 45\% | 397 | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 65\% | 6 | 0 | 35\% | 25\% | 43\% |

Second Grade

| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd, ORF |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ <br> Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 40 | 100\% | 103.0 | 6 | 15\% | 7 | 18\% | 24 | 3 | 68\% |
| Gender | F | 20 | 50\% | 108.7 | 2 | 10\% | 2 | 10\% | 15 | 1 | 80\% |
|  | M | 20 | 50\% | 97.4 | 4 | 20\% | 5 | 25\% | 9 | 2 | 55\% |
| Race | A | 1 | 3\% | 75.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | B | 4 | 10\% | 65.3 | 2 | 50\% | 1 | 25\% | 1 | 0 | 25\% |
|  | H | 2 | 5\% | 61.5 | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | M | 2 | 5\% | 115.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | W | 31 | 78\% | 110.7 | 3 | 10\% | 5 | 16\% | 20 | 3 | 74\% |
|  | ELL | 5 | 13\% | 43.4 | 3 | 60\% | 2 | 40\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | EcoDis | 10 | 25\% | 50.6 | 6 | 60\% | 4 | 40\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iReady, Math, Grade 2 |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average Score | 2 or <br> More <br> Levels <br> Below | 2 or <br> More <br> Levels <br> Below \% | 1 Level Below | 1 Level Below \% | On Level | Above Level |  | 21-22 <br> EOY On <br> or Above <br> Level \% | 20-21 <br> EOY On or Above Level \% |
|  | Total | 41 | 100\% | 427 | 1 | 2\% | 19 | 46\% | 21 | 0 | 51\% | 31\% | 61\% |
| Gender | F | 21 | 51\% | 426 | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 52\% | 10 | 0 | 48\% | 28\% | 70\% |
| Gender | M | 20 | 49\% | 428 | 1 | 5\% | 8 | 40\% | 11 | 0 | 55\% | 35\% | 52\% |
|  | A | 1 | 2\% | 434 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 29\% | 0\% |
|  | B | 4 | 10\% | 418 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 0 | 25\% | 0\% | 33\% |
| Race | H | 3 | 7\% | 383 | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 67\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 20\% | 33\% |
|  | M | 2 | 5\% | 425 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | W | 31 | 76\% | 432 | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 42\% | 18 | 0 | 58\% | 41\% | 71\% |
|  | ELL | 5 | 12\% | 396 | 1 | 20\% | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 0 | 20\% | 19\% | 38\% |
|  | SpEd | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | EcoDis | 10 | 24\% | 406 | 1 | 10\% | 8 | 80\% | 1 | 0 | 10\% | 17\% | 44\% |

Third Grade

| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd, ORF |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 44 | 100\% | 119.3 | 10 | 23\% | 4 | 9\% | 27 | 3 | 68\% |
| Gender | F | 25 | 57\% | 115.4 | 7 | 28\% | 2 | 8\% | 13 | 3 | 64\% |
|  | M | 19 | 43\% | 124.4 | 3 | 16\% | 2 | 11\% | 14 | 0 | 74\% |
| Race | A | 7 | 16\% | 72.7 | 4 | 57\% | 1 | 14\% | 2 | 0 | 29\% |
|  | B | 4 | 9\% | 96.8 | 2 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | H | 3 | 7\% | 90.3 | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 67\% |
|  | M | 2 | 5\% | 108.5 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | w | 28 | 64\% | 138.0 | 3 | 11\% | 2 | 7\% | 20 | 3 | 82\% |
|  | ELL | 14 | 32\% | 74.6 | 8 | 57\% | 3 | 21\% | 3 | 0 | 21\% |
|  | SpEd | 2 | 5\% | 25.0 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | EcoDis | 26 | 59\% | 107.4 | 8 | 31\% | 4 | 15\% | 13 | 1 | 54\% |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iReady, <br> Math, 3rd <br> Grade |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average Score | 2 or More Levels Below | 2 or More Levels Below \% | 1 Level Below | 1 Level Below \% | On Level | Above Level | On or Above Level \% | 21-22 <br> EOY On or Above Level \% | 20-21 <br> EOY On or Above Level \% |
|  | Total | 44 | 100\% | 445 | 6 | 14\% | 14 | 32\% | 24 | 0 | 55\% | 61\% | 51\% |
| Gender | F | 25 | 57\% | 443 | 4 | 16\% | 9 | 36\% | 12 | 0 | 48\% | 58\% | 38\% |
|  | M | 19 | 43\% | 449 | 2 | 11\% | 5 | 26\% | 12 | 0 | 63\% | 65\% | 57\% |
| Race | A | 8 | 18\% | 428 | 3 | 38\% | 3 | 38\% | 2 | 0 | 25\% | 50\% | 67\% |
|  | B | 3 | 7\% | 443 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 0 | 67\% | 50\% | 0\% |
|  | H | 3 | 7\% | 434 | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 0 | 33\% | 50\% | 0\% |
|  | M | 2 | 5\% | 448 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% | 100\% | 50\% |
|  | w | 28 | 64\% | 452 | 2 | 7\% | 8 | 29\% | 18 | 0 | 64\% | 63\% | 53\% |
|  | ELL | 14 | 32\% | 427 | 4 | 29\% | 6 | 43\% | 4 | 0 | 29\% | 60\% | 44\% |
|  | SpEd | 2 | 5\% | 420 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |  |
|  | EcoDis | 25 | 57\% | 447 | 3 | 12\% | 9 | 36\% | 13 | 0 | 52\% | 53\% | 40\% |

## Intervention

| Seneca, Reading Intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Tier 3 | \% of students in Tier 1 | Total Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 | Total <br> Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 |
| Kindergarten | 41 |  |  |  | 0.00\% | 41 | 33 | 5 | 3 | 80.49\% | N/A | 42 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 85.71\% | 5.23\% |
| 1st grade | 38 | 31 | 2 | 5 | 81.58\% | 40 | 33 | 3 | 4 | 82.50\% | 0.92\% | 40 | 34 | 2 | 4 | 85.00\% | 3.42\% |
| 2nd grade | 44 | 32 | 4 | 8 | 72.73\% | 44 | 32 | 5 | 7 | 72.73\% | 0.00\% | 45 | 35 | 4 | 6 | 77.78\% | 5.05\% |
| 3rd grade | 48 | 38 | 5 | 5 | 79.17\% | 48 | 40 | 3 | 5 | 83.33\% | 4.17\% | 49 | 41 | 3 | 5 | 83.67\% | 4.51\% |
|  |  |  | 11 | 18 | 29 |  |  | 16 | 19 | 35 |  |  |  | 14 | 16 | 30 |  |


| Seneca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Students | Tier <br> 1 | Tier <br> 2 | Tier 3 | \% of students in Tier 1 | Total Students | Tier <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Tier 3 | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 | Total Students | Tier <br> 1 | Tier 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 |
| Kindergarten | 41 |  |  |  | 0.00\% | 41 | 34 | 2 | 5 | 82.93\% | N/A | 42 | 36 | 4 | 2 | 85.71\% | 2.79\% |
| 1st grade | 38 | 32 | 4 | 2 | 84.21\% | 40 | 34 | 4 | 2 | 85.00\% | 0.79\% | 40 | 35 | 4 | 1 | 87.50\% | 3.29\% |
| 2nd grade | 44 | 41 | 1 | 2 | 93.18\% | 44 | 41 | 1 | 2 | 93.18\% | 0.00\% | 45 | 43 | 0 | 2 | 95.56\% | 2.37\% |
| 3rd grade | 48 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 79.17\% | 48 | 37 | 7 | 4 | 77.08\% | -2.08\% | 49 | 38 | 6 | 5 | 77.55\% | -1.62\% |
|  |  |  | 11 | 8 | 19 |  |  | 14 | 13 | 27 |  |  |  | 14 | 10 | 24 |  |

## Building Based Goals

| Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal(s): Kindergarten - Literacy <br> Increase NWF for students in the ELL group Increase NWF for students in the Economically Disadvantaged (SES) group |  |  |  |  |
| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan (Wh <br> How will it be monitored? | Assess <br> When) <br> Who is responsible? | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| Find culturally responsive, research-based resources to develop literacy skills <br> Student centered instruction such as: <br> - Targeted drills in NWF <br> - Word building with manipulating letters and sounds <br> - Small group instruction (Student centered learning experiences) <br> - Explaining the purpose of NWF (Prepare students for learning) increase <br> - Building familiarity and fluency with determining nonsense or real word <br> - Other research-based methods | Progress Monitoring in Aimsweb + | Classroom teachers and intervention teachers | Goal: Students in ELL and SES groups will increase their NWF by 10\% of their BOY benchmark score Updated Progress: | Goal: ELL and SES sub groups will increase their EOY NWF by 10\% of their MOY score <br> Updated Progress: |

## Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

## Goal(s): Kindergarten - Mathematics

- Increase the percentage of ELL and SES students who meet or exceed Oral Counting benchmarks.
- Increase the percentage of ELL students who meet or exceed the Missing Number benchmarks.

| Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Find culturally responsive, research-based resources to develop mathematical skills. <br> - Increase number sense and manipulation of numbers. <br> - Small group instruction (Student Centered <br> - Building familiarity and number fluency with determining nonsense or real word | Progress monitoring with Aimsweb Plus | Classroom teachers and intervention teachers | Oral Counting for ELL \& SES will increase $10 \%$ of their BOY benchmark score Missing Number for ELL with increase by $10 \%$ of their BOY benchmark score | Goal: <br> Oral Counting for ELL \& SES will increase by $10 \%$ of their MOY benchmark score Missing Number for ELL will increase by 5\% of their MOY benchmark |
| - Other research-based methods |  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |

## Goal(s): $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade - Literacy

## Nonsense Word Fluency

- Students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $80 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $90 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.
- ELL students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $50 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $65 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.
- EcoDis students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $50 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $65 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.


## Action Plan

Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)

- Intentionally incorporate nonsense word and CVC word practice into Heggerty routine each morning
- Use AIMSWeb progress monitoring to drive instruction and continually assess student growth
- Access the ARG in CKLA to provide additional word fluency practice in areas of need
- Collaborate with ENL teacher/TA, intervention teacher/TA, and building administration to plan intervention instruction

| Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - AIMSWeb <br> - Heggerty <br> - Progress Monitoring <br> - Bursts <br> - IST/PST | - Classroom Teacher <br> - Intervention Teacher/TA <br> - ENL Teacher/TA | Goal: <br> Students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $80 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY. <br> - ELL students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $50 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY. <br> - EcoDis students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $50 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY. | Goal: <br> Students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $90 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY. <br> - ELL students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $65 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY. <br> - EcoDis students will demonstrate proficiency in nonsense word fluency with $65 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY. |
|  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |

Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

## Goal(s): $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade - Mathematics

## Oral Counting:

- Students will demonstrate proficiency in oral counting fluency with $80 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $90 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.
- ELL students will demonstrate proficiency in oral counting fluency with $60 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $80 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.
- EcoDis students will demonstrate proficiency in oral counting fluency with $60 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $80 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.


## Missing Number:

- Students will demonstrate proficiency in missing number fluency with $80 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $90 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.
- ELL students will demonstrate proficiency in missing number fluency with $60 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at MOY and $80 \%$ of students meeting benchmark at EOY.



## Goal(s): $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade - Literacy

Among all subgroups, students will increase their oral reading fluency with $90 \%$ meeting benchmark by EOY.

| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Examine best practices in NWF and early literacy instruction. <br> - Collaborate with all stakeholders to implement best practices in the classroom. <br> - Classroom teacher will provide NWF bursts. <br> - Use AIMSweb progress monitoring to guide instruction. | AIMSweb progress monitoring probes | Classroom teacher/ intervention/ENL teacher | Goal: Each student will increase their oral reading fluency benchmark score by 20\% by MOY until they reach the exceeding benchmark | Goal: Each student will increase their oral reading fluency benchmark score by $10 \%$ by EOY until they reach the exceeding benchmark |
| - Leverage IST/PST and intervention planning meetings to monitor specific student growth <br> - Adjust instruction as needed. |  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |

## Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade - Mathematics
Among all subgroups, students will increase their iReady Diagnostic level with $90 \%$ of students on or above level by EOY.


## Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

## Goal(s): $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade - Literacy

Oral Reading Fluency:
Students in third grade will increase their reading fluency through systematic instruction and progress monitoring, paying close attention to the discrepancies between male and females as well as the ENL population, with $75 \%$ of students reading at grade level ( 119 wpm ).

| Action PlanInclude targeted instructional practice to examine(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement, and assess <br> - Utilize current instructional model to guide planning and execute lessons <br> - Utilize RTI model to implement interventions and targeted instruction by setting appropriate and measurable goals <br> - Provide ample time and opportunities to explore their instructs through independent reading <br> - Student lead fluency progress monitoring and goal setting <br> - Small and whole group instruction will incorporate phonemic awareness and phonics instruction for all students <br> - Implementation of Humanities Curriculum <br> - Leverage IST/PST and RTI planning meetings to monitor specific student growth <br> - Collaboration with all teachers and service providers (intervention, ELL, speech, etc.) <br> - Implementation of new CKLA curriculum <br> - Utilize ARG to provide differentiated instructional opportunities | AimsWeb Fluency Benchmark <br> Assessment and Progress <br> Monitoring Probes | Classroom Teachers <br> Intervention <br> Teacher (as applicable) <br> ELL Teacher (as applicable) | Goal: Each student will increase their oral reading fluency benchmark score by 20\% by MOY until they reach the exceeding benchmark | Goal: Each student will increase their oral reading fluency benchmark score by 20\% by EOY until they reach the exceeding benchmark |
|  |  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

## Goal(s): $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade - Mathematics

iReady:
Students in third grade will increase their diagnostic score with $80 \%$ of students scoring on grade level by EOY.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Action Plan } \\
& \text { Include targeted instructional practice to examine } \\
& \text { (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Utilize the RTI model for enhanced differentiation to close gaps for students.

| Plan to Assess <br> (Who/When) |
| ---: |
| When |


| Mid-Year Goal \& Progress <br> (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress <br> (Long Term) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Daily utilization of IReady during math workshop
- Frequent utilization of Iready progress monitoring.

| How will it be <br> monitored? | Who is <br> responsible? |
| :---: | :---: |

Goal

- Utilize current instructional model to guide planning and Monitoring. execute lessons.
- Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess

Classroom
Observations
tsClassroom Teacher Goal:
Intervention $\quad 75 \%$ of general education Teacher

- Provide opportunities for math talk and productive struggle while maintaining high expectations for all.
- Explicit vocabulary instruction in mathematics

Focus Area: Well Being of Self and Community (SEL/Restorative Practices) (Faculty/Department - Supervision)

## Goal(s): SEL

Staff will help to build an environment and community that will promote student social and emotional learning and encourage restorative practices.

| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Principal/TOSA to review behavior referral document with faculty and staff. |  |  | Goal: | Goal: |
| - Continued professional development tied to restorative practices and consistent implementation into the classroom. <br> - Lunch/Recess monitors: <br> - relationship building; classroom building activity (morning meeting, classroom circle); one circle time a month with lunch monitor prior to lunch or after lunch); teacher time with lunch monitor to review strategies that benefit the student <br> - Building wide assemblies, or grade level bands; Friday Fun activities |  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |

- Caring Communities-implementation of curriculum-at least 4 lessons
- o Provide resources and professional development tied to Caring Community resource
- Create a schedule of meetings to support implementation across classrooms

| Focus Area: Implementation Systems (curricular or instructional shifts/grade level transitions/professional growth) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal(s): Using Panorama, students identified as high-risk due to absenteeism, behavior reports, and grades will be addressed through the IST and, if applicable, the PST process, to ensure we are meeting the wholistic needs of all students. |  |  |  |  |
| Action PlanInclude targeted instructional practice to examine(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess <br> (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Teachers will continue to identify students for IST meetings. <br> - Members of Exec. PST will use Panorama to identify students by sub-groups and according to absenteeism, SEL concerns, behavior, and grades. <br> - Members of Exec. PST will cross-reference students identified from Panorama with students identified for IST meetings to ensure all high-risk students are being addressed <br> - Intervention Teachers are providing classroom teachers with intervention plans from Panorama to inform marking period grades, report card comments, and conversations with families | Exec. PST minutes IST Agendas | School Counselor Interventionist (Reading) Interventionist (Math) School Psychologist Social Worker Principal | Goal: <br> - Intervention Teachers are creating intervention plans in Panorama. <br> - Exec. PST members are reviewing Panorama with principal/intervention teacher support | Goal: <br> - Teachers are using Panorama Intervention Plans to inform conversations with parents and record student progress <br> - Exec. PST members are accessing Panorama to identify high-risk students and using this information during SES meetings |

