

# Southlawn School Based Plan 2023-2024 

| Southlawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Percen $t$ of Total | Averag <br> e LNF | Intensiv <br> e | Intensiv <br> e \% | Strategi <br> c | Strategi c\% | At or Above | Exceedin g | At/Above <br> / <br> Exceeding <br> \% | Averag e LSF | Intensiv $\mathbf{e}$ | Intensiv e\% | Strategi c | Strategi c \% | At or Above | Exceedin <br> g | At/Above <br> / <br> Exceeding <br> \% |
|  | Total | 55 | 100\% | 55.6 | 2 | 4\% | 5 | 9\% | 47 | 1 | 87\% | 47.3 | 2 | 4\% | 5 | 9\% | 40 | 8 | 87\% |
| Gender | F | 33 | 60\% | 55.9 | 1 | 3\% | 3 | 9\% | 29 | 0 | 88\% | 48.0 | 2 | 6\% | 1 | 3\% | 26 | 4 | 91\% |
|  | M | 22 | 40\% | 55.2 | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 9\% | 18 | 1 | 86\% | 46.2 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 18\% | 14 | 4 | 82\% |
| Race | A | 1 | 2\% | 55.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 57.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | B | 9 | 16\% | 53.1 | 1 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 89\% | 40.9 | 1 | 11\% | 1 | 11\% | 6 | 1 | 78\% |
|  | H | 7 | 13\% | 56.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% | 6 | 0 | 86\% | 42.4 | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 0 | 86\% |
|  | M | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | W | 38 | 69\% | 56.2 | 1 | 3\% | 4 | 11\% | 32 | 1 | 87\% | 49.4 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 11\% | 27 | 7 | 89\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | SpEd | 2 | 4\% | 51.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% | 32.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | EcoDis | 17 | 31\% | 53.9 | 1 | 6\% | 1 | 6\% | 15 | 0 | 88\% | 44.1 | 2 | 12\% | 1 | 6\% | 13 | 1 | 82\% |


| Southlawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Percen $t$ of Total | Averag e PSF | Intensiv e | Intensiv e \% | Strategi <br> c | Strategi c \% | At or Above | Exceedin g | At/Above / <br> Exceeding <br> \% | Averag <br> e NWF | Intensiv <br> e | Intensiv <br> e \% | Strategi <br> c | Strategi c \% | At or Above | Exceedin g | At/Above <br> / <br> Exceeding <br> \% |
|  | Total | 55 | 100\% | 58.7 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 7\% | 39 | 12 | 93\% | 49.0 | 1 | 2\% | 10 | 18\% | 40 | 4 | 80\% |
| Gender | F | 33 | 60\% | 59.3 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 9\% | 21 | 9 | 91\% | 47.6 | 1 | 3\% | 7 | 21\% | 22 | 3 | 76\% |
|  | M | 22 | 40\% | 57.9 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 5\% | 18 | 3 | 95\% | 51.2 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 14\% | 18 | 1 | 86\% |
| Race | A | 1 | 2\% | 70.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 65.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | B | 9 | 16\% | 53.8 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 22\% | 6 | 1 | 78\% | 44.8 | 1 | 11\% | 3 | 33\% | 4 | 1 | 56\% |
|  | H | 7 | 13\% | 55.9 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% | 4 | 2 | 86\% | 48.6 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 14\% | 6 | 0 | 86\% |
|  | M | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | W | 38 | 69\% | 60.2 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 29 | 8 | 97\% | 49.7 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 16\% | 29 | 3 | 84\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | SpEd | 2 | 4\% | 45.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 0 | 50\% | 35.5 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EcoDi } \\ & \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 31\% | 57.5 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 18\% | 9 | 5 | 82\% | 46.1 | 1 | 6\% | 3 | 18\% | 13 | 0 | 76\% |


| Southlawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K, Math |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average OC | Tier $3$ | Tier $2$ | Tier 1 | \% <br> Tier 1 | Average <br> NI | Tier $3$ | Tier <br> 2 | Tier <br> 1 | \% <br> Tier 1 | Average QD | Tier 3 | Tier $2$ | Tier 1 | Average MN | Tier 3 | Tier <br> 2 | Tier 1 | \% <br> Tier 1 |
|  | Total | 55 | 100\% | 82.9 | 3 | 3 | 48 | 89\% | 54.5 | 2 | 8 | 43 | 81\% | 26.5 | 0 | 10 | 45 | 15.4 | 6 | 10 | 39 | 71\% |
| Gender | F | 33 | 60\% | 82.2 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 91\% | 54.0 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 77\% | 26.3 | 0 | 6 | 27 | 14.9 | 4 | 7 | 22 | 67\% |
|  | M | 22 | 40\% | 83.9 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 86\% | 55.3 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 86\% | 26.7 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 16.1 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 77\% |
| Race | A | 1 | 2\% | 100.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 56.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 28.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | B | 9 | 16\% | 75.4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 78\% | 53.7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 67\% | 25.0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 10.7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 33\% |
|  | H | 7 | 13\% | 84.1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 86\% | 53.4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 71\% | 26.7 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 17.1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 86\% |
|  | M | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | W | 38 | 69\% | 84.0 | 1 | 2 | 34 | 92\% | 54.9 | 0 | 5 | 31 | 86\% | 26.8 | 0 | 5 | 33 | 16.1 | 2 | 7 | 29 | 76\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | SpEd | 2 | 4\% | 70.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 50\% | 54.5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 50\% | 25.5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | EcoDis | 17 | 31\% | 78.8 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 76\% | 54.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 26.1 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 13.8 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 53\% |

First Grade
Southlawn

|  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Percen } \\ & \text { t of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { LNF } \end{aligned}$ | Intensive | Intensi ve \% | Strategi <br> c | Strategic <br> \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At or } \\ & \text { Abov } \\ & \text { e } \end{aligned}$ | Exceeding | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { At/Above } \\ & 1 \\ & \text { Exceeding } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Averag e LSF | Intensiv <br> e | Intensiv e \% | Strategic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strategi } \\ & \mathrm{c} \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At or } \\ & \text { Above } \end{aligned}$ | Exceedi <br> ng | At/Above 1 Exceeding $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tot al | 41 | 100\% | 71.5 | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 12\% | 29 | 7 | 88\% | 66.9 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 5\% | 30 | 9 | 95\% |
| Gender | F | 18 | 44\% | 75.3 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 13 | 4 | 94\% | 70.8 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 5 | 100\% |
|  | M | 23 | 56\% | 68.6 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 17\% | 16 | 3 | 83\% | 63.9 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 9\% | 17 | 4 | 91\% |
| Race | A | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | B | 1 | 2\% | 87.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 57.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | H | 11 | 27\% | 68.7 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 18\% | 7 | 2 | 82\% | 64.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 9\% | 7 | 3 | 91\% |
|  | M | 2 | 5\% | 88.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 67.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | w | 27 | 66\% | 70.8 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 11\% | 21 | 3 | 89\% | 68.4 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 20 | 6 | 96\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SpE } \\ & \mathrm{d} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2\% | 70.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 73.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Eco } \\ & \text { Dis } \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 39\% | 73.1 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 19\% | 8 | 5 | 81\% | 65.7 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 12 | 4 | 100\% |


| South |  | Tot al | Perce nt of Total | Averag e PSF | Intensiv <br> e | Intensiv e \% | Strateg ic | Strateg ic \% | At or Above | Exceedi ng | At/Abov e/ <br> Exceedin <br> g \% | Averag e NWF | Intensiv <br> e | Intensiv e \% | Strateg ic | Strateg ic \% | At or Above | Exceedi ng | At/Abov e/ <br> Exceedin <br> g \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 41 | 100\% | 65.2 | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 12\% | 27 | 9 | 88\% | 77.4 | 3 | 7\% | 4 | 10\% | 31 | 3 | 83\% |
| Gend er | F | 18 | 44\% | 66.8 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 12 | 5 | 94\% | 83.4 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 17 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | M | 23 | 56\% | 63.9 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 17\% | 15 | 4 | 83\% | 72.7 | 3 | 13\% | 4 | 17\% | 14 | 2 | 70\% |
| Race | A | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | B | 1 | 2\% | 63.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 83.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | H | 11 | 27\% | 58.3 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 27\% | 6 | 2 | 73\% | 63.2 | 1 | 9\% | 2 | 18\% | 8 | 0 | 73\% |
|  | M | 2 | 5\% | 92.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 141.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | w | 27 | 66\% | 66.1 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 7\% | 20 | 5 | 93\% | 78.3 | 2 | 7\% | 2 | 7\% | 21 | 2 | 85\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | SpEd | 1 | 2\% | 51.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 36.0 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EcoDi } \\ & \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 39\% | 66.5 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 11 | 4 | 94\% | 84.2 | 1 | 6\% | 2 | 13\% | 11 | 2 | 81\% |


|  |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average Fluency | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ <br> Exceeding <br> \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 41 | 100\% | 64.2 | 6 | 15\% | 7 | 17\% | 25 | 3 | 68\% |
| Gender | F | 18 | 44\% | 75.3 | 1 | 6\% | 3 | 17\% | 12 | 2 | 78\% |
|  | M | 23 | 56\% | 55.6 | 5 | 22\% | 4 | 17\% | 13 | 1 | 61\% |
| Race | A | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | B | 1 | 2\% | 105.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | H | 11 | 27\% | 50.2 | 3 | 27\% | 2 | 18\% | 6 | 0 | 55\% |
|  | M | 2 | 5\% | 120.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | W | 27 | 66\% | 64.3 | 3 | 11\% | 5 | 19\% | 17 | 2 | 70\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | SpEd | 1 | 2\% | 22.0 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | EcoDis | 16 | 39\% | 64.2 | 3 | 19\% | 2 | 13\% | 10 | 1 | 69\% |



| Southlawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd, ORF |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 56 | 100\% | 105.2 | 8 | 14\% | 8 | 14\% | 35 | 5 | 71\% |
| Gender | F | 27 | 48\% | 101.3 | 4 | 15\% | 6 | 22\% | 15 | 2 | 63\% |
|  | M | 29 | 52\% | 108.8 | 4 | 14\% | 2 | 7\% | 20 | 3 | 79\% |
| Race | A | 2 | 4\% | 116.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | B | 5 | 9\% | 73.8 | 2 | 40\% | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 0 | 40\% |
|  | H | 9 | 16\% | 110.7 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 22\% | 6 | 1 | 78\% |
|  | M | 4 | 7\% | 113.5 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 100\% |
|  | W | 36 | 64\% | 106.7 | 6 | 17\% | 5 | 14\% | 21 | 4 | 69\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | SpEd | 7 | 13\% | 78.9 | 3 | 43\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 57\% |
|  | EcoDis | 18 | 32\% | 93.7 | 4 | 22\% | 2 | 11\% | 11 | 1 | 67\% |


| Southlawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iReady, Math, Grade 2 |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average Score | 2 or More Levels Below | 2 or <br> More <br> Levels <br> Below \% | 1 Level <br> Below | 1 Level Below \% | On Level | Above Level | On or Above Level \% | 21-22 <br> EOY On or Above Level \% | 20-21 <br> EOY On or Above Level \% |
|  | Total | 58 | 100\% | 429 | 4 | 7\% | 22 | 38\% | 32 | 0 | 55\% | 51\% | 45\% |
| Gender | F | 25 | 43\% | 425 | 1 | 4\% | 11 | 44\% | 13 | 0 | 52\% | 50\% | 31\% |
|  | M | 33 | 57\% | 433 | 3 | 9\% | 11 | 33\% | 19 | 0 | 58\% | 52\% | 63\% |
| Race | A | 2 | 3\% | 452 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% |  | 100\% |
|  | B | 5 | 9\% | 408 | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 20\% | 22\% |
|  | H | 8 | 14\% | 426 | 1 | 13\% | 3 | 38\% | 4 | 0 | 50\% | 50\% | 0\% |
|  | M | 4 | 7\% | 423 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 50\% | 2 | 0 | 50\% | 25\% | 33\% |
|  | W | 39 | 67\% | 432 | 3 | 8\% | 12 | 31\% | 24 | 0 | 62\% | 59\% | 54\% |
|  | ELL | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | SpEd | 12 | 21\% | 408 | 4 | 33\% | 4 | 33\% | 4 | 0 | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | EcoDis | 19 | 33\% | 415 | 3 | 16\% | 9 | 47\% | 7 | 0 | 37\% | 9\% | 21\% |

Third Grade

| Southlawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd, ORF |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average | Intensive | Intensive \% | Strategic | Strategic \% | At or Above | Exceeding | At/Above/ <br> Exceeding \% |
|  | Total | 41 | 100\% | 142.2 | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 20\% | 28 | 5 | 80\% |
| Gender | F | 17 | 41\% | 145.9 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 12\% | 12 | 3 | 88\% |
|  | M | 24 | 59\% | 139.6 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 25\% | 16 | 2 | 75\% |
| Race | A | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | B | 4 | 10\% | 132.8 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 25\% | 3 | 0 | 75\% |
|  | H | 2 | 5\% | 135.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | M | 5 | 12\% | 136.0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 4 | 0 | 80\% |
|  | W | 30 | 73\% | 145.0 | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 17\% | 21 | 4 | 83\% |
|  | ELL | 1 | 2\% | 188.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | SpEd | 6 | 15\% | 124.5 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 50\% | 3 | 0 | 50\% |
|  | EcoDis | 13 | 32\% | 135.2 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 23\% | 9 | 1 | 77\% |


|  | Southlawn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iReady, Math, 3rd Grade |  | Total | Percent of Total | Average Score | 2 or More Levels Below | 2 or More Levels Below \% | 1 Level Below | 1 Level Below \% | On Level | Above Level | On or Above Level \% | 21-22 <br> EOY On <br> or Above <br> Level \% | 20-21 <br> EOY On <br> or Above <br> Level \% |
|  | Total | 43 | 100\% | 456 | 2 | 5\% | 11 | 26\% | 30 | 0 | 70\% | 53\% | 56\% |
|  | F | 18 | 42\% | 450 | 2 | 11\% | 5 | 28\% | 11 | 0 | 61\% | 40\% | 50\% |
|  | M | 25 | 58\% | 461 | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 24\% | 19 | 0 | 76\% | 70\% | 65\% |
|  | A | 0 | 0\% |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 100\% |  |
|  | B | 5 | 12\% | 422 | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 40\% | 2 | 0 | 40\% | 38\% | 57\% |
| Race | H | 2 | 5\% | 458 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 100\% | 40\% | 45\% |
|  | M | 5 | 12\% | 456 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 4 | 0 | 80\% | 25\% | 40\% |
|  | W | 31 | 72\% | 462 | 1 | 3\% | 8 | 26\% | 22 | 0 | 71\% | 62\% | 62\% |
|  | ELL | 1 | 2\% | 437 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |
|  | SpEd | 8 | 19\% | 433 | 2 | 25\% | 2 | 25\% | 4 | 0 | 50\% | 27\% | 0\% |
|  | EcoDis | 15 | 35\% | 443 | 1 | 7\% | 5 | 33\% | 9 | 0 | 60\% | 35\% | 43\% |

Intervention

| Southlawn, Reading Intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Total Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 | Total Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 |
| Kindergarten | 56 |  |  |  | 0.00\% | 54 | 42 | 6 | 6 | 77.78\% | 77.78\% | 55 | 52 | 1 | 2 | 94.55\% | 16.77\% |
| 1st grade | 45 | 25 | 9 | 11 | 55.56\% | 42 | 23 | 7 | 12 | 54.76\% | -0.79\% | 41 | 28 | 5 | 8 | 68.29\% | 13.53\% |
| 2nd grade | 55 | 32 | 7 | 16 | 58.18\% | 54 | 32 | 10 | 12 | 59.26\% | 1.08\% | 56 | 42 | 5 | 9 | 75.00\% | 15.74\% |
| 3rd grade | 44 | 31 | 13 | 0 | 70.45\% | 43 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 93.02\% | 22.57\% | 41 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 95.12\% | 2.10\% |
|  |  |  | 29 | 27 | 56 |  |  | 26 | 30 | 56 |  |  |  | 13 | 19 | 32 |  |


| Southlawn, Math Intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall |  |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |  |  | Spring |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Students | Tier $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Total Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Tier $2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 | Total Students | Tier $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tier } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% of students in Tier 1 | Change in \% of students in Tier 1 |
| Kindergarten | 56 |  |  |  | 0.00\% | 54 | 48 | 5 | 1 | 88.89\% | N/A | 55 | 53 | 1 | 1 | 96.36\% | 7.47\% |
| 1st grade | 45 | 39 | 2 | 4 | 86.67\% | 42 | 33 | 8 | 1 | 78.57\% | -8.10\% | 41 | 37 | 0 | 4 | 90.24\% | 3.58\% |
| 2nd grade | 55 | 47 | 4 | 4 | 85.45\% | 54 | 46 | 3 | 5 | 85.19\% | -0.27\% | 56 | 49 | 4 | 3 | 87.50\% | 2.05\% |
| 3rd grade | 44 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 95.45\% | 43 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 88.37\% | -7.08\% | 41 | 37 | 3 | 1 | 90.24\% | -5.21\% |
|  |  |  | 7 | 9 | 16 |  |  | 20 | 8 | 28 |  |  |  | 8 | 9 | 17 |  |

## Building Based Goals

Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)
Goal(s): Kindergarten - Literacy
Kindergarten students will be able to identify all 26 uppercase and lowercase letters by the end of the school year
Kindergarten students will be able to fluently decode CVC words.
Kindergarten students will increase their capacity to automatically blend three or more sounds.

| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Focus on school readiness skills to better implement instruction effectively. <br> - Integration of early school readiness skill with a letter a day. <br> - Multi-sensory play-based experiences to letters and letter sounds. <br> - Utilize RTI teacher/TOSA/TA/KinderTA to collaboratively plan intervention instruction. <br> - Utilize LN and LS inventories to target instruction. <br> - Utilize Heggerty instruction and assessments to drive instruction. <br> Use small groups to target specific LN and LS <br> - Create an updated assessment(s) to formally assess blending/decoding (ex: QPS list \#3) <br> - Consistency in assessment administration/scoring <br> - Implementation of CKLA phonics program <br> - Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan for next steps. <br> - Utilize read alouds to model what it is like to be a reader who is a thinker. | Letter Name/Sound Inventory <br> CKLA | Classroom Teacher Interventionist Intervention TA Kindergarten TA | Goal: Students will be able to identify 26 letter names and sounds with varying degrees of accuracy. <br> Students will be able to orally blend 2-3 sounds with teacher support. <br> Updated Progress: | Goal: Students will be able to identify 26 letter names and sounds with 100\% accuracy <br> Students will be able to independently orally blend 3-4 sounds. <br> Updated Progress: |

Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

## Goal(s): Kindergarten - Mathematics

Kindergarten students will fluently use number sense by the end of the school year

| Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine | Plan to <br> (Who | Assess When) | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  | (Long Term) |
| - Focus on number identification 0-5. Once mastered, introduce numbers 6-10 with five groups <br> Solidifying numbers 0-10 through representation and 1:1 correspondence <br> - Introduce numbers 11-20, looking for the base 10 and extra ones (1:1 correspondence) <br> - Integration of early school readiness skills with numbers. <br> - Multi-sensory play-based experiences with numbers <br> - Workshop model to create small group instruction <br> - Use of inventories to target instruction <br> - Utilize RTI teacher and TA/TOSA/Kinder TA to collaborative plan intervention instruction. <br> - Create/adopt assessments to accurately assess early numeracy skills <br> Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan for next steps <br> utilizing i-Ready math curriculum, along with using math vocabulary within daily instruction. <br> - Fluently add/subtract within 5 using strategies <br> - Being able to compare numbers up to 10 <br> - Complete number patterns | I-Ready assessments, Early Numeracy assessments | Classroom teacher <br> Interventionist |  | Goal: |
|  |  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |
|  |  | Kindergarten TA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)
Goal(s): $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade - Literacy
Students in $1^{\text {st }}$ grade will increase proficiency in nonsense word fluency to $90 \%$ (at or above grade level) to increase overall reading fluency.

| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) |  <br> Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| Action Steps: <br> - Consistency of administration and scoring <br> - Utilize Heggerty instruction and AIMS progress monitoring and assessments to drive instruction. | AIMSweb Heggerty Progress monitoring Bursts | Classroom Teacher Reading Interventionist TA | Goal: 85\% of students will be at or above grade level. <br> Goal: | Goal: 90\% of students will be at or above grade level. |
| - Systematic and explicit phonic instruction <br> - Reading intervention that meets the gaps of students within the groupings <br> - Utilize read alouds to model what it is like to be a reader who is a thinker. <br> Look at instruction on blending <br> Increase independent work | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IST } \\ & \text { PST } \end{aligned}$ |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |


| Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal(s): $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade - Mathematics <br> $80 \%$ of students in $1^{\text {st }}$ grade will be at or above grade level in missing number assessment. |  |  |  |  |
| Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps with instruction. (Iready/ Fact Fluency, MEX) | iready <br> Math <br> Fact Fluency <br> Assess. | Classroom teacher Math <br> Interventionist TA | Goal: 75\% of students are at or above grade level. | Goal: 80\% of students will be at or above grade level. |
| the i-Ready program in addition to other resources (ex.: <br> Math Expressions, Xtra Math) |  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |
| - Frontloading mathematical vocabulary | Xtra Math |  |  |  |
| - Utilize number talks to strengthen number sense (i.e. subitizing) | Math Talk |  |  |  |
| - Continue to strengthen fact fluency through multi-sensory instruction | MEX |  |  |  |
| - Increase fact fluency through student goal setting (accuracy or time based on student need) | Classroom |  |  |  |
| - Utilize math intervention as appropriate <br> - Positional knowledge (before/ after/ next) | Observations |  |  |  |


| - Counting on from numbers (not starting at 1) <br> - Counting backwards from a number not 10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision) |  |  |  |  |
| Goal(s): $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade - Literacy <br> We will increase the number of students at or above standard in oral reading fluency from $68 \%$ to $85 \%$. |  |  |  |  |
| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  | (Long Term) |
| - Using CKLA to implement science of reading practices. <br> - Utilize Aimsweb and CKLA assessments to drive instruction. | Bi-weekly progress | Classroom and intervention | Goal: | Goal: |
| Use targeted instruction to improve and increase phonemic awareness skills. <br> - Providing daily opportunities for independent reading. <br> Direct instruction of phonics from CKLA. <br> Implementation of the K-12 instructional model. <br> - Providing opportunities of reading \& rereading through guided reading group time. <br> - Utilize the RTI model to differentiate student needs to help close gaps. | monitoring with Aimsweb probes. IST/PST CKLA unit assessment | teachers. | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |

## Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

## Goal(s): $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade - Mathematics

We will increase the number of students at or above standard in math proficiency on I-ready from $44 \%$ to $70 \%$.

| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Implementation with fidelity of the new math resource: Iready math. | l-ready progress monitoring and | Classroom and intervention | Goal: | Goal: |
| - Allow daily opportunities for discourse to increase student |  |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |
| engagement and use of math vocabulary. | Fact fluency, |  |  |  |
| - Using data from assessments to drive instruction and help close gaps. | lesson, and unit |  |  |  |
| - Implementation of the K-12 instructional model. | assessments. |  |  |  |
| - Explicit instruction on using the I-ready components with their computer and allowing at least 45 minutes of weekly practice. | IST/PST |  |  |  |

Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)
Goal(s): $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade - Literacy Increase oral reading fluency to meet or exceed district level in all cohorts


## Focus Area: Instruction \& Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams - Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

## Goal(s): 3rd Grade - Mathematics

Increase all cohort levels to at or exceeding district level with emphasis and focus on increasing Black students' percentage

| Action PlanInclude targeted instructional practice to examine(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) |  <br> Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Targeted instruction in area of mathematical need (strand) that stands out on IReady. <br> - Opportunities for repeated practice, manipulatives, and | $\begin{array}{ll} - \text {-Progress } \\ \text { Monitoring } \\ \text {-IReady quick } \end{array}$ | Classroom <br> Teacher | Goal: | Goal: |
| repeated experiences | quizzes |  | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |
| Technology support around IReady testing. <br> - Implementation with fidelity of the new math resource; Ready Math | $\text { - } \begin{aligned} & \text {-Fact Fluency } \\ & \text { progress } \\ & \text { monitoring } \\ & \text { IST/PcT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| - Explicit instruction on using the I-ready components with their computer and allowing at least 45 minutes of weekly practice. | IST/PST |  |  |  |
| - Allow daily opportunities for discourse to increase student engagement and use of math vocabulary. <br> - Using data from assessments to drive instruction and help close gaps. <br> Implementation of the K-12 instructional model. |  |  |  |  |

Goal(s): SEL
Staff in K-3 will implement Caring Communities into multiple classrooms/settings to provide access to tier 1 SEL instruction in grades K-3; 100\% of our teachers will complete 4 lessons in their homerooms. Staff will continue to grow knowledge of restorative practices to implement within their classroom settings. Staff in grades K-3 will use a behavior form to support data collection of behavioral needs and support students with restorative practices.

| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Continued implementation of the behavioral referral document and restorative practices. <br> Lead teacher provide continued PD on behavioral referral forms and processes <br> - Continued professional development tied to restorative practices and consistent implementation into the classroom and | Classroom observations <br> Scheduled meetings/minutes | Principals <br> Lead Teacher <br> Supervisor of Counseling, | Goal: Faculty, Staff and Support Staff receive training and resources in a restorative approach to help support behavioral needs. | Goal: Faculty, Staff and Support Staff accessing and using elements of restorative practices to support student behavior and reflection. |
| during more unstructured times (lunch/recess). <br> Implementation of the K-3 Discipline Data Action Plan | to elicit feedback from committee members | Student Equity and Wellness | Updated Progress: | Updated Progress: |
| Teachers implement Caring Communities across a tier 1 setting. | Use of behavior | District TCI trainers |  |  |
| - Provide resources and professional development tied to Caring Community resource. | referral document | SES Team |  |  |
| - Create a schedule of meetings to support implementation across classrooms |  |  |  |  |
| - Use of OneNote to document supports, strategies and students social/emotional needs <br> - Use SES Squad as a Tier 1 intervention to support classroom teachers in implementing Tier 1 plans |  |  |  |  |

Focus Area: Implementation Systems (curricular or instructional shifts/grade level transitions/professional growth)
Goal(s): All students who are in Tier 3 from assessments used in the district will be met on using the IST/PST process, ensuring that all students, including students in sub-groups are addressed during the IST/PST process. IST/PST meetings will focus on the whole child.

| Action Plan <br> Include targeted instructional practice to examine (Problem of Practice- include instructional implications) | Plan to Assess (Who/When) |  | Mid-Year Goal \& Progress (Short Term) | End of the Year Goal \& Progress (Long Term) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How will it be monitored? | Who is responsible? |  |  |
| - Using district assessments staff will identify students needing to be on an IST meeting, homeroom teachers and interventionists will check to ensure that any students receiving tier 2/tier 3 | Review intervention data on an on-going basis to see what |  | Goal: | Goal: |

supports are on the IST agenda (For K students, this will start afterlstudents are in tier January??)
Start using panorama to identify students who need additional support academically and socially emotionally.

## are on the schedule

 for IST/PST.