

# Listwood School Based Plan 2023-2024

		Total	Perce nt of Total	Averag e LNF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strateg ic	Strateg ic %	At or Abov e	Exceedi ng	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %	Averag e LSF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strateg ic	Strateg ic %	At or Abov e	Exceedi ng	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %
	Total	28	100%	62.5	0	0%	4	14%	17	7	86%	53.9	0	0%	2	7%	14	12	93%
Gender	F	11	39%	64.4	0	0%	1	9%	7	3	91%	57.1	0	0%	0	0%	5	6	100%
	М	17	61%	61.2	0	0%	3	18%	10	4	82%	51.8	0	0%	2	12%	9	6	88%
Race	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	В	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	н	3	11%	56.7	0	0%	0	0%	3	0	100%	62.3	0	0%	0	0%	2	1	100%
	м	1	4%	47.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%	36.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%
	w	24	86%	63.8	0	0%	4	17%	13	7	83%	53.5	0	0%	2	8%	11	11	92%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	SpEd	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	EcoDis	3	11%	59.7	0	0%	1	33%	1	1	67%	55.0	0	0%	0	0%	2	1	100%

		Total	Perce nt of Total	Averag e PSF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strategi c	Strategi c %	At or Abov e	Exceedin g	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %	Averag e NWF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strategi c	Strategi c %	At or Abov e	Exceedin g	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %
	Total	28	100%	69.4	0	0%	0	0%	14	14	100%	61.8	0	0%	4	14%	16	8	86%
Gende																			
r	F	11	39%	68.5	0	0%	0	0%	7	4	100%	61.2	0	0%	1	9%	7	3	91%
	м	17	61%	69.9	0	0%	0	0%	7	10	100%	62.2	0	0%	3	18%	9	5	82%
Race	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	В	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	Н	3	11%	76.0	0	0%	0	0%	0	3	100%	77.7	0	0%	0	0%	2	1	100%
	м	1	4%	77.0	0	0%	0	0%	0	1	100%	28.0	0	0%	1	100%	0	0	0%
	W	24	86%	68.3	0	0%	0	0%	14	10	100%	61.3	0	0%	3	13%	14	7	88%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	SpEd	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	EcoDi																		
	s	3	11%	68.0	0	0%	0	0%	2	1	100%	53.7	0	0%	1	33%	1	1	67%

1 to the second											
Listwood											1

			Percent of	Average	Tier	Tier	Tier	%	Average	Tier	Tier	Tier	%	Average	Tier	Tier	Tier	Average	Tier	Tier	Tier	%
K, Math		Total	Total	OC	3	2	1	Tier 1	NI	3	2	1	Tier 1	QD	3	2	1	MN	3	2	1	Tier 1
	Total	28	100%	81.6	2	0	26	93%	54.9	0	4	24	86%	27.3	0	3	25	18.6	0	1	27	96%
Gender	F	11	39%	80.3	1	0	10	91%	54.8	0	2	9	82%	26.9	0	1	10	18.5	0	1	10	91%
	М	17	61%	82.5	1	0	16	94%	54.9	0	2	15	88%	27.5	0	2	15	18.6	0	0	17	100%
Race	Α	0	0%		0	0	0			0	0	0			0	0	0		0	0	0	
	В	0	0%		0	0	0			0	0	0			0	0	0		0	0	0	
	Н	3	11%	83.3	0	0	3	100%	56.0	0	0	3	100%	28.0	0	0	3	19.7	0	0	3	100%
	М	1	4%	80.0	0	0	1	100%	49.0	0	1	0	0%	28.0	0	0	1	15.0	0	0	1	100%
	w	24	86%	81.5	2	0	22	92%	55.0	0	3	21	88%	27.1	0	3	21	18.6	0	1	23	96%
	ELL	0	0%		0	0	0			0	0	0			0	0	0		0	0	0	
	SpEd	0	0%		0	0	0			0	0	0			0	0	0		0	0	0	
	EcoDis	3	11%	75.0	1	0	2	67%	52.3	0	0	0		22.3	0	2	1	14.7	0	1	2	67%

# First Grade

		Tota I	Percen t of Total	Averag e LNF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strategi c	Strategi c %	At or Abov e	Exceedin g	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %	Averag e LSF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strategi c	Strategi c %	At or Abov e	Exceedin g	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %
	Total	43	100%	63.9	0	0%	0	0%	41	2	100%	55.4	0	0%	1	2%	37	1	88%
Gende																			
r	F	19	44%	62.6	0	0%	0	0%	19	0	100%	55.6	0	0%	1	5%	17	1	95%
	м	24	56%	64.9	0	0%	0	0%	22	2	100%	55.2	0	0%	0	0%	20	0	83%
Race	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	В	1	2%	58.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%	49.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%
	н	2	5%	60.0	0	0%	0	0%	2	0	100%	56.0	0	0%	0	0%	2	0	100%
	М	3	7%	63.3	0	0%	0	0%	3	0	100%	57.3	0	0%	0	0%	3	0	100%
	w	37	86%	64.3	0	0%	0	0%	35	2	100%	55.4	0	0%	1	3%	31	1	86%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	SpEd	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	EcoDi																		
	s	5	12%	72.0	0	0%	0	0%	3	2	100%	53.6	0	0%	0	0%	5	0	100%

		Tot al	Perce nt of Total	Averag e PSF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strateg ic	Strateg ic %	At or Abov e	Exceedi ng	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %	Averag e NWF	Intensiv e	Intensiv e %	Strateg ic	Strateg ic %	At or Abov e	Exceedi ng	At/Abov e/ Exceedin g %
	Total	43	100%	65.5	0	0%	0	0%	37	6	100%	79.4	0	0%	4	9%	38	1	91%
Gender	F	19	44%	64.8	0	0%	0	0%	17	2	100%	79.3	0	0%	2	11%	16	1	89%
	м	24	56%	66.0	0	0%	0	0%	20	4	100%	79.5	0	0%	2	8%	22	0	92%
Race	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	В	1	2%	69.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%	59.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%
	н	2	5%	64.0	0	0%	0	0%	2	0	100%	67.0	0	0%	0	0%	2	0	100%
	м	3	7%	71.3	0	0%	0	0%	2	1	100%	94.0	0	0%	0	0%	3	0	100%
	W	37	86%	65.0	0	0%	0	0%	32	5	100%	79.4	0	0%	4	11%	32	1	89%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	SpEd	0	0%		0		0		0	0			0		0		0	0	
	EcoDi s	5	12%	64.0	0	0%	0	0%	5	0	100%	95.6	0	0%	0	0%	5	0	100%

Listwood

		Total	Percent of Total	Average Fluency	Intensive	Intensive %	Strategic	Strategic %	At or Above	Exceeding	At/Above/ Exceeding %
	Total	43	100%	80.9	2	5%	9	21%	25	7	74%
Gender	F	19	44%	86.0	1	5%	3	16%	10	5	79%
	М	24	56%	76.9	1	4%	6	25%	15	2	71%
Race	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0	
	В	1	2%	44.0	0	0%	1	100%	0	0	0%
	н	2	5%	44.5	1	50%	0	0%	1	0	50%
	М	3	7%	82.7	0	0%	0	0%	3	0	100%
	w	37	86%	83.8	1	3%	8	22%	21	7	76%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0	
	SpEd	0	0%		0		0		0	0	
	EcoDis	5	12%	103.6	0	0%	1	20%	2	2	80%

iReady, Math, Grade 1		Total	Percent of Total	Average Score	2 or More Levels Below	2 or More Levels Below %	1 Level Below	1 Level Below %	On Level	Above Level	On or Above Level %	21-22 EOY On or Above Level %	20-21 EOY On or Above Level %
	Total	43	100%	415	0	0%	6	14%	36	1	86%	67%	68%
Gender	F	19	44%	413	0	0%	2	11%	17	0	89%	58%	65%
Gender	М	24	56%	417	0	0%	4	17%	19	1	83%	79%	71%
	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0			
	В	1	2%	416	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%	33%	100%
Race	н	2	5%	393	0	0%	2	100%	0	0	0%	50%	67%
	М	3	7%	431	0	0%	0	0%	2	1	100%	67%	75%
	w	37	86%	415	0	0%	4	11%	33	0	89%	74%	66%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0			
	SpEd	0	0%		0		0		0	0		0%	0%
	EcoDis	5	12%	409	0	0%	1	20%	4	0	80%	60%	57%

# Second Grade

Listwood											
2nd, ORF		Total	Percent of Total	Average	Intensive	Intensive %	Strategic	Strategic %	At or Above	Exceeding	At/Above/ Exceeding %
	Total	46	100%	127.0	3	7%	3	7%	33	7	87%
Gender	F	25	54%	130.1	1	4%	1	4%	22	1	92%
	М	21	46%	123.3	2	10%	2	10%	11	6	81%
Race	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0	
	В	2	4%	102.0	0	0%	0	0%	2	0	100%
	н	6	13%	136.3	1	17%	0	0%	2	3	83%
	М	2	4%	139.5	0	0%	0	0%	2	0	100%
	w	35	76%	125.6	2	6%	3	9%	26	4	86%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0	
	SpEd	1	2%	143.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%
	EcoDis	9	20%	132.1	1	11%	0	0%	6	2	89%

						Listv	vood						
iReady, Math, Grade 2		Total	Percent of Total	Average Score	2 or More Levels Below	2 or More Levels Below %	1 Level Below	1 Level Below %	On Level	Above Level	On or Above Level %	21-22 EOY On or Above Level %	20-21 EOY On or Above Level %
	Total	46	100%	435	0	0%	15	33%	31	0	67%	64%	64%
Gender	F	25	54%	431	0	0%	9	36%	16	0	64%	52%	76%
Gender	м	21	46%	439	0	0%	6	29%	15	0	71%	79%	54%
	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0			50%
	В	2	4%	427	0	0%	1	50%	1	0	50%	0%	100%
Race	н	6	13%	431	0	0%	3	50%	3	0	50%	40%	25%
	М	2	4%	463	0	0%	0	0%	2	0	100%	75%	0%
	w	35	76%	434	0	0%	11	31%	24	0	69%	69%	72%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0			
	SpEd	1	2%	441	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%	0%	
	EcoDis	9	20%	433	0	0%	3	33%	6	0	67%	67%	44%

Third Grade

Listwood											
3rd, ORF		Total	Percent of Total	Average	Intensive	Intensive %	Strategic	Strategic %	At or Above	Exceeding	At/Above/ Exceeding %
	Total	40	100%	132.4	0	0%	13	33%	26	1	68%
Gender	F	22	55%	138.7	0	0%	7	32%	14	1	68%
	М	17	43%	123.9	0	0%	6	35%	11	0	65%
Race	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0	
	В	1	3%	156.0	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%
	н	5	13%	136.4	0	0%	2	40%	2	1	60%
	м	4	10%	119.8	0	0%	2	50%	2	0	50%
	w	30	75%	132.7	0	0%	9	30%	21	0	70%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0	
	SpEd	4	10%	114.3	0	0%	2	50%	2	0	50%
	EcoDis	10	25%	124.2	0	0%	4	40%	6	0	60%

						Listv	vood						
iReady, Math, 3rd Grade		Total	Percent of Total	Average Score	2 or More Levels Below	2 or More Levels Below %	1 Level Below	1 Level Below %	On Level	Above Level	On or Above Level %	21-22 EOY On or Above Level %	20-21 EOY On or Above Level %
	Total	40	100%	458	1	3%	12	30%	27	0	68%	63%	75%
Gender	F	22	55%	453	1	5%	9	41%	12	0	55%	62%	67%
Genuer	М	17	43%	465	0	0%	2	12%	15	0	88%	64%	79%
	Α	0	0%		0		0		0	0		50%	
	В	1	3%	453	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%	100%	0%
Race	н	5	13%	451	0	0%	2	40%	3	0	60%	20%	33%
	М	4	10%	460	0	0%	1	25%	3	0	75%	0%	
	w	30	75%	459	1	3%	9	30%	20	0	67%	72%	81%
	ELL	0	0%		0		0		0	0			
	SpEd	4	10%	452	0	0%	1	25%	3	0	75%	50%	
	EcoDis	10	25%	452	0	0%	3	30%	7	0	70%	44%	17%

	Listwood, Reading Intervention																
			Fall				Winter			Spring							
	Total Students	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	% of students in Tier 1	Total Students	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	% of students in Tier 1	Change in % of students in Tier 1	Total Students	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	% of students in Tier 1	Change in % of students in Tier 1
Kindergarten	29				0.00%	29	25	3	1	86.21%	N/A	29	26	3	0	89.66%	3.45%
1st grade	44	34	9	1	77.27%	44	37	5	2	84.09%	6.82%	44	39	3	2	88.64%	11.36%
2nd grade	47	38	5	4	80.85%	47	39	4	4	82.98%	2.13%	48	42	2	4	87.50%	6.65%
3rd grade	40	33	6	1	82.50%	40	29	10	1	72.50%	-10.00%	40	30	10	0	75.00%	-7.50%
			20	6	26			22	8	30				18	6	24	

	Listwood, Math Intervention																
			Fall		-	Winter				Spring							
	Total Students	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	% of students in Tier 1	Total Students	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	% of students in Tier 1	Change in % of students in Tier 1	Total Students	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	% of students in Tier 1	Change in % of students in Tier 1
Kindergarten	29				0.00%	29	27	2	0	93.10%	N/A	29	28	1	0	96.55%	3.45%
1st grade	44	44	0	0	100.00%	44	42	2	0	95.45%	-4.55%	44	43	1	0	97.73%	-2.27%
2nd grade	47	45	2	0	95.74%	47	42	5	0	89.36%	-6.38%	48	43	5	0	89.58%	-6.16%
3rd grade	40	38	2	0	95.00%	40	39	1	0	97.50%	2.50%	40	39	1	0	97.50%	2.50%
			4	0	4			10	0	10				8	0	8	

# Building Based Goals

#### Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

### Goal(s): Kindergarten – Literacy

Kindergarten students will be able to identify all 26 uppercase and lowercase letters by the end of the school year.

• EOY Letter name fluency will increase to 90% of students at or above grade level.

Kindergarten students will be able to fluently decode CVC words.

• EOY nonsense word fluency will increase to 90% of students at or above grade level.

	EOY nonsense word fluency will increase to 90% of stude	and an of above grau	e level.		
	Action Plan	Plan to	Assess	Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal &
	Include targeted instructional practice to examine	(Who/	When)	(Short Term)	Progress
	(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be	Who is		(Long Term)
		monitored?	responsible?		
•	Focus on school readiness skills to better implement	Probes and	Classroom	Goal: Kindergarten students will	Goal:
		assessments	teachers and	recognize all 26 letters and	EOY Letter name fluency
	Communities)		Intervention	sounds with varying degrees of	will increase to 90% of
	Integration of early school readiness skill with a letter a day.	Letter Name/Sound		fluency.	students at or above grade
	Multi-sensory play-based experiences to letters and letter	Inventory	reacher		level.
	sounds. Utilize RTI teacher/TOSA/TA/KinderTA to collaboratively plan	,			EOY nonsense word fluency
	intervention instruction.	CKLA			will increase to 90% of
-	Utilize LN and LS inventories to target instruction.				students at or above grade
-	Utilize Heggerty instruction and assessments to drive				level.
	instruction.				
•	Use small groups to target specific LN and LS			Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:
•	Create an updated assessment(s) to formally assess				
	blending/decoding (ex: QPS list #3)				
-	Consistency in assessment administration/scoring				
•	Implementation of CKLA phonics program				
•	Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan for next				
	steps.				

Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine	Plan to Assess <i>(Who/When)</i>		Mid-Year Goal & Progress (Short Term)	End of the Year Goal & Progress	
(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)	
Focus on number identification 0-5. Once mastered, introduce numbers 6-10 with five groups Solidifying numbers 0-10 through representation and 1:1 correspondence Introduce numbers 11-20, looking for the base 10 and extra ones (1:1 correspondence) Integration of early school readiness skills with numbers. Multi-sensory play-based experiences with numbers Workshop model to create small group instruction Use of inventories to target instruction Utilize RTI teacher and TA/TOSA/Kinder TA to collaborative plan intervention instruction. Create/adopt assessments to accurately assess early numeracy skills Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan for next steps			be able to identify and represent numbers 1-5 with 100% accuracy. Kindergarten students will be able to count to 40 with	Goal: Kindergarten students wil be able to identify and represent numbers 1-20 with 100% accuracy. Kindergarten students will be able to count to 70 wit 100% accuracy. Updated Progress:	

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Te	ams – Grade Level/I	Department Meetings -	- Supervision)						
Goal(s): 1ª Grade – Literacy									
Nonsense Word Fluency:	Nonsense Word Fluency:								
Students in first grade will increase accuracy in reading fluency to 80% to support overall reading.									
Students in first grade will increase proficiency in nonsense word	Students in first grade will increase proficiency in nonsense words fluency to 95% to support overall reading fluency.								
Action Plan	Plan t	o Assess	Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal &					
Include targeted instructional practice to examine	(Who	p/When)	(Short Term)	Progress					
(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)					
	monitored?								
Action Steps:	AIMSweb	Classroom Teacher	Goal:	Goal:					
<ul> <li>Consistency of administration and scoring</li> </ul>	Heggerty	Reading	Students in first grade will	Students in first grade will					
<ul> <li>Utilize Heggerty instruction and AIMS progress monitoring</li> </ul>	Progress	Interventionist TA	increase proficiency in nonsense	increase proficiency in					
and assessments to drive instruction.	monitoring		word fluency to 90% to support	nonsense word fluency to					
<ul> <li>Systematic and explicit phonics instruction</li> </ul>	Bursts		overall reading fluency.	95% to support overall					
<ul> <li>Reading intervention</li> </ul>	IST			reading fluency.					
<ul> <li>Look at instruction on blending sounds</li> </ul>	PST		Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:					

CKLA

Continue CKLA

Increase independent	

Focus Ar	rea: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Tea	ms – Grade Level/De	epartment Meetings	- Supervision)		
	1 <sup>st</sup> Grade – Mathematics		,			
Students	s will add and subtract fluently within 10 with 90% accura	cy to support numbe	er sense and compu	tation.		
	Action Plan	Plan to	Assess	Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal &	
	Include targeted instructional practice to examine	(Who/When)		(Short Term)	Progress	
(Pro	oblem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)	
	ze Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next os with instruction. (Iready/ Fact Fluency, MEX)	Iready Math	Classroom teacher	Goal: Students in first grade will increase their number	Goal: Students in first grade will increase their number	
	port Number Sense growth by utilizing resources from i-Ready program in addition to other resources (ex.:	Fact Fluency	Math	identification score to 90% to support number sense and	identification score to 95% to support number sense	
	h Expressions, Xtra Math) htloading mathematical vocabulary	Assessments	Interventionist TA	computation.	and computation.	
	ze number talks to strengthen number sense (i.e. itizing)	Xtra Math		Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:	
	tinue to strengthen fact fluency through multi-sensory ruction	Math Talk				
	ease fact fluency through student goal setting (accuracy me based on student need)	MEX				
<ul> <li>Utiliz</li> </ul>	ze math intervention as appropriate	Classroom				
	nting backwards, not always beginning at 1 ize manipulatives and more exposure throughout the day	Observations				

Cocus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)								
Goal(s): 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade – Literacy								
The number of students meeting or exceeding standard in oral fluency will increase from 74% to 90%.								
Action Plan	Plan to	Assess	Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal &				
Include targeted instructional practice to examine	(Who/	When)	(Short Term)	Progress				
(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be	Who is	7	(Long Term)				
	monitored?	responsible?						
<ul> <li>Use of systematic and explicit phonic instruction</li> </ul>	Aimsweb		Goal:	Goal:				
<ul> <li>Continue to use CKLA to implement science of reading</li> </ul>	progress							
practices <ul> <li>Implementation of the K-12 instructional model</li> </ul>	monitoring		Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:				
<ul> <li>Utilize Heggerty instruction and assessments to drive instruction (Phonemic awareness)</li> <li>Implementation of independent reading time</li> </ul>	e IST/PST							

Group remedial/intervention groups based on ORF miscues.	

# Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings – Supervision)

# Goal(s): 2<sup>nd</sup> Grade – Mathematics

The number of students at or above grade level will increase from 86% to 95%.

	Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine	Plan to <i>(Who/</i>	Assess <i>'When)</i>	Mid-Year Goal & Progress (Short Term)	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)
•	Support Number Sense growth by utilizing resources from the i-Ready program in addition to other resources (ex.: Math Expressions, Xtra Math) Explicitly teaching mathematical vocabulary Utilize number talks to strengthen number sense (i.e.	I-Ready	Classroom teacher and intervention teacher when appropriate	Goal:	Goal:
•	subitizing) Continue to strengthen fact fluency through multi-sensory instruction Utilize math intervention as appropriate	Observations		Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:

Goal(s): 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade – Literacy Increase percentage of male students reading at/above to 90% in ORF.								
Action Plan	Plan to	Assess	Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal &				
Include targeted instructional practice to examine	(Who/	When)	(Short Term)	Progress				
(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)				
Increase independent practice opportunities Employ strategies for oral reading fluency (independent	3x year benchmark and	classi com ana	Goal: 85%	Goal: 90%				
ractice) se IST/PST framework for problem solving everage intervention services to additional instruction and pplication practice.	progress monitoring	teacher. Possible consult with district literacy specialist	Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:				

# Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade – Mathematics Increase percentage of on/above to 75% (total students).

	Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine	Plan to <i>(Who/</i>	Assess When)	Mid-Year Goal & Progress (Short Term)	End of the Year Goal & Progress	
	(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)	
•	Build Computer Based Testing skills Data dive into breakdown of skills then plan direct instruction and independent practice/application	Monitor student	Intervention	Goal: 70%	Goal: 75%	
•		iReady lesson accuracy	Teachers	Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:	

### Focus Area: Well Being of Self and Community (SEL/Restorative Practices) (Faculty/Department - Supervision)

# Goal(s): SEL

Staff in K-3 will implement Caring Communities into multiple classrooms/settings to provide access to tier 1 SEL instruction in grades K-3.

Staff will continue to grow knowledge of restorative practices to implement within their classroom settings.

Staff in grades K-3 will use a behavior referral to support data collection of behavioral needs and support students with restorative practices.

	Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine	Plan to Assess (Who/When)		Mid-Year Goal & Progress (Short Term)	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)
•	Continued implementation of the behavioral referral document and restorative practices. Lead teacher provide continued PD on behavioral referral forms and processes Continued professional development tied to restorative practices and consistent implementation into the classroom and during more unstructured times (lunch/recess). Implementation of the K-3 Discipline Data Action Plan Teachers implement Caring Communities across a tier 1 setting. Provide resources and professional development tied to Caring Community resource.	Classroom observations Scheduled meetings/minutes to elicit feedback from committee members Use of behavior referral document	Lead Teacher Supervisor of Counseling,	Support Staff receive training and resources in a restorative approach to help support behavioral needs.	Goal: Faculty, Staff and Support Staff accessing and using elements of restorative practices to support student behavior and reflection. Updated Progress:

Create a schedule of meetings to support implementation across classrooms	Jse of OneNote	
Use of OneNote to document supports, strategies and students social/emotional needs.		
Use SES Squad as a Tier 1 intervention to support classroom teachers in implementing Tier 1 plans		

# Focus Area: Implementation Systems (curricular or instructional shifts/grade level transitions/professional growth)

Goal(s): All students who are in Tier 3 from assessments used in the district will be met on using the IST/PST process, ensuring that all students, including students in sub-groups are addressed during the IST/PST process.

IST/PST meetings will focus on the whole child.

Action Plan Include targeted instructional practice to examine	Plan to Assess (Who/When)		Mid-Year Goal & Progress (Short Term)	End of the Year Goal & Progress
(Problem of Practice- include instructional implications)	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		(Long Term)
<ul> <li>interventionists will check to ensure that any students receiving tier 2/tier 3 supports are on the IST agenda (For K students, this will start after January??)</li> <li>Start using panorama to identify students who need additional support academically and socially emotionally.</li> </ul>	Review intervention data on an on-going basis to see what students are in tier 2/3 and see if they are on the schedule for IST/PST.		Goal:	Goal: